arXiv:1702.06388v2 [math.CT] 22 Feb 2017

AXIOMATIC PHYLOGENETICS

VLADIMIR TURAEV

Abstract. We use the language of precategories to formulate a general mathematical framework for phylogenetics.

1. Introduction

Mathematical methods are commonly used in biology which, in some cases, leads to new mathematical theories, see [DHKMS], [ERSS], [Gr], [Re], [SS], [Ti]. In this paper we study certain mathematical ideas suggested by evolutionary biology. Biological evolution is described in wikipedia as the "change in the heritable characteristics of biological populations over successive generations". Evolution produces a sequence of generations of species, each generation arising from the previous one via natural selection and/or genetic drift. The branching diagram showing the evolutionary relationships between species is called the evolutionary tree. This tree has a distinguished vertex - a root - as all life on Earth is believed to share a common ancestor known as the last universal common ancestor (LUCA). Phylogenetics studies the evolutionary tree and aims to recover it from the current generation of living organisms. For a recent review of phylogenetic analysis, see [YR]; for mathematical aspects of phylogenetics, see [SS], [DHKMS].

We introduce here an abstract mathematical formalism for evolution emphasizing its phylogenetic aspects. While it remains to be seen whether our formalism may be of use in theoretical biology, it does suggest new mathematical concepts. Our underlying idea is to consider not only the historical evolution but all possible evolutions from primitive beings to complex organisms. We use the language of precategories formed by objects and morphisms. An object X of a precategory is said to be phylogenetic if all possible evolutions from primitive beings to X have a common part which is viewed then as the canonical evolutionary history of X. We define phylogenetic precategories by requiring all objects to be phylogenetic and all morphisms to be non-degenerate in a certain sense. We study various structures associated with phylogenetic precategories and, in particular, show that such precategories yield evolutionary trees.

The principal aim of the study of phylogenetic precategories is their construction and classification. We give examples of phylogenetic precategories arising in set theory, group theory, and theory of metric spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18A10, 54E99, 92D15.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we introduce our basic notions: evolutions, primitive objects, etc. In Sections 4–10 we study phylogenetic objects and phylogenetic precategories. In Sections 11 and 12 we define phylogenetic precategories of finite ultrametric/metric spaces.

2. Precategories and evolutions

2.1. **Precategories.** Precategories generalize categories (formed by objects and morphisms) via dropping composition of morphisms and the identity morphisms. A *precategory* $\mathcal{O}$ consists of a class of objects and a collection of sets $\{\mathcal{O}(A,B)\}_{A,B}$ where A, B run over the objects of $\mathcal{O}$. The elements of the set $\mathcal{O}(A,B)$ are called *morphisms* from A to B and are represented by arrows $A \to B$ or $B \leftarrow A$. To indicate that A is an object of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ we write $A \in \mathcal{O}$. A biologically minded reader may replace "objects" with "species".

2.2. **Evolutions.** For an integer $m \geq 0$, an *evolutionary chain* or, shorter, an *evolution* of length m in a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is a sequence

$$A_0 \longleftarrow A_1 \longleftarrow A_2 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow A_m \tag{2.2.1}$$

where $A_0, A_1, ..., A_m \in \mathcal{O}$ and arrows are morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$. We call A_0 the *initial* object and A_m the *terminal* object of the evolution. For $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$, we write $A \leq B$ and say that A is an ancestor of B (and B is a descendant of A) if there is an evolution in $\mathcal{O}$ leading from A to B, i.e., starting at A and terminating at B.

Lemma 2.1. *The relation $\leq$ is a preorder, i.e., it is reflexive and transitive.*

Proof. The reflexivity of $\leq$ means that $A \leq A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{O}$ so that A is both an ancestor and a descendant of itself. This is so because the 0-length evolution (A) has A as both the initial and the terminal objects. The transitivity of $\leq$ means that if $A \leq B$ and $B \leq C$, then $A \leq C$ for any $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}$. This is obtained by concatenating an evolution from A to B with an evolution from B to C. □

2.3. **Isotypy.** We say that objects A, B of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ are *isotypic* and write $A \sim B$ if both $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in the sense that: every object is isotypic to itself (the reflexivity); if $A \sim B$, then $B \sim A$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ (the symmetry); if $A \sim B \sim C$, then $A \sim C$ for any $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}$ (the transitivity). The first two properties follow from the definitions. The transitivity holds because if $A \sim B \sim C$, then $A \leq B \leq C$ and $C \leq B \leq A$. Therefore $A \leq C$ and $C \leq A$, i.e., $A \sim C$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ the following five conditions are equivalent:*

(i) A and B are isotypic;
(ii) A is both an ancestor and a descendant of B;
(iii) B is both an ancestor and a descendant of A;
(iv) A and B have the same ancestors;
(v) A and B have the same descendants.

Proof. The equivalences $(i) \Longleftrightarrow (ii) \Longleftrightarrow (iii)$ follow directly from the definitions. If $A \sim B$ and X is an ancestor of A, then $X \leq A \leq B$. So, $X \leq B$, i.e., X is an

ancestor of B. Similarly, all ancestors of B are among the ancestors of A. Thus, $(i) \Longrightarrow (iv)$. Conversely, if (iv) holds, then A being its own ancestor must be an ancestor of B, i.e., $A \leq B$. Analogously, $B \leq A$. Thus, $(iv) \Longrightarrow (i)$. The equivalence $(i) \Longleftrightarrow (v)$ is checked similarly. □

Lemma 2.2 shows that isotypic objects are equivalent from the evolutionary viewpoint and may be considered as inessential variations of each other. The same holds for objects appearing in evolutions between isotypic objects, as we show next.

Lemma 2.3. *For any evolution* (2.2.1) *between isotypic objects* A_0, A_m*, we have* $A_0 \sim A_k$ *for all* $k = 0, 1, ..., m$.

Proof. The evolution (2.2.1) ensures that $A_0 \leq A_k \leq A_m$. Since A_0, A_m are isotypic, $A_m \leq A_0$. Therefore $A_k \leq A_0$. Thus, $A_0 \sim A_k$. □

2.4. **Embeddings of evolutions.** Given two evolutionary chains

$$\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$$

in a precategory, we say that α *embeds* in β if $m \leq n$ and there are integers

$$0 \leq r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_m \leq n$$

such that $A_k \sim B_{r_k}$ for $k = 0, 1, ..., m$. For $m = n$, this condition amounts to $A_k \sim B_k$ for all $k = 0, 1, ..., m$. We say then that α and β are *isotypic*.

2.5. **Examples.** 1. Let $\mathcal{SET}$ be the precategory formed by finite non-empty sets and maps between them. An evolutionary chain of length $m \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{SET}$ is a sequence of finite non-empty sets $A_0, A_1, ..., A_m$ and maps $A_k \to A_{k-1}$ where $k = 1, ..., m$. The elements of A_k can be viewed as the individual organisms of the k-th generation while the map $A_k \to A_{k-1}$ carries each individual to its parent. Evolutions in $\mathcal{SET}$ reflect asexual reproduction: each individual is formed from a single parent. (Reproduction involving two parents may be formalized taking as morphisms between sets A, B the maps $B \to A \times A$.) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{SET}$, a map carrying B to an element of A yields a length 1 evolution $A \leftarrow B$ in $\mathcal{SET}$. Thus, $A \leq B$. Consequently, all objects of $\mathcal{SET}$ are isotypic.

2. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the precategory formed by finite non-empty sets and surjective maps between them. It is clear that $A \leq B$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathrm{card}(A) \leq \mathrm{card}(B)$ where card is the number of elements of a set. Therefore, $A \sim B$ if and only if $\mathrm{card}(A) = \mathrm{card}(B)$.

3. Primitive objects and the height

We further develop our language and, in particular, introduce primitive objects, full evolutions, short evolutions, and the height of objects.

3.1. **Primitive objects.** The role of the LUCA in evolutionary biology will be played here by so-called primitive objects. An object A of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is *primitive* if all its ancestors are isotypic to A. In other words, $A \in \mathcal{O}$ is primitive if $B \leq A \Longrightarrow A \leq B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 3.1. *All ancestors of a primitive object are primitive.*

Proof. Let A be a primitive object of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ and let $B \in \mathcal{O}$ be an ancestor of A. If $C \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfies $C \leq B$, then $C \leq B \leq A$ and by transitivity, $C \leq A$. Since A is primitive, we must have $A \leq C$. Since $B \leq A$, the transitivity yields $B \leq C$. Thus, $C \leq B \Longrightarrow B \leq C$, i.e., B is primitive. □

Since isotypic objects are ancestors of each other, Lemma 3.1 implies that all objects isotypic to a primitive object are primitive. Note also that all descendants of a non-primitive objects are non-primitive.

A precategory may have no primitive objects. If it does have primitive objects, then they may be non-isotypic to each other. We say that a precategory is *primary* if it has a primitive object and all its primitive objects are isotypic to each other.

We can express Lemma 3.1 by saying that the primitivity is anti-hereditary. Here a property, say, P of objects of a precategory is *hereditary* (respectively, *anti-hereditary*) if for any object having the property P, all its descendants (respectively, ancestors) necessarily have the property P. If a property is hereditary or anti-hereditary, then it is isotypy invariant in the sense that all objects isotypic to an object having this property also have this property. If a property is hereditary, then its negation is anti-hereditary and vice versa.

3.2. **Full evolutions and the height.** A *full evolution* for an object X of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is an evolutionary chain in $\mathcal{O}$ which starts at a primitive object and terminates at X. We view a full evolution for X as an evolutionary history of X. A full evolution for X does not necessarily exist, and if it exists, it is not necessarily unique.

The *height* $h(X)$ of an object $X \in \mathcal{O}$ is the smallest integer $h \geq 0$ such that there is a full evolution for X of length h. If there are no full evolutions for X, then we set $h(X) = \infty$. It is clear from the definitions that the following three conditions on $X \in \mathcal{O}$ are equivalent: X has a finite height; X has a primitive ancestor; X is a descendant of a primitive object. Concatenating evolutionary chains, one easily observes that the property of having a finite height is hereditary, i.e., all descendants of an object of finite height have finite height.

The height of objects provides a numerical measure of the amount of evolution needed for these objects to evolve from the primitives. In particular, it is clear from the definitions that an object has zero height if and only if it is primitive. As a consequence, if an object has zero height, then so do all its ancestors. The height of an object X is equal to one if and only if X is non-primitive and there is a morphism from X to a primitive object.

3.3. **Short evolutions.** A full evolution for an object X of a precategory is *short* if its length is equal to $h(X)$. Such an evolution is the shortest among all full evolutions for X. It exists if and only if $h(X) < \infty$ and may be non-unique.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ be a full evolution for an object $X = A_m$ of a precategory. Then $h(A_k) \leq k$ for all $k = 0, 1, ..., m$. If α is short, then $h(A_k) = k$ for all $k = 0, 1, ..., m$.*

Proof. For $k = 0, 1, ..., m$, let $\alpha_k = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_k)$ be the initial segment of α of length k and let $\alpha^k = (A_k \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ be the terminal segment of α of length $m - k$. Since α is a full evolution, A_0 is a primitive object and so α_k is a full evolution for A_k. Thus, $h(A_k) \leq k$. If there is a full evolution for A_k of length $< k$ then concatenating it with α^k we obtain a full evolution for X of length $< k + (m - k) = m$. Thus, if α is short, then so is α_k and $h(A_k) = k$. □

3.4. **The step inequality.** The height of an object of a precategory cannot increase too quickly under evolutions. In particular, for any length 1 evolution (i.e., a morphism in our precategory) $A \leftarrow B$ we have the *step inequality*

$$h(B) \leq h(A) + 1. \tag{3.4.1}$$

It holds because concatenating a short full evolution for A with the evolution $A \leftarrow B$ we obtain a full evolution for B of length $h(A) + 1$. Applying (3.4.1) recursively, we obtain that $h(A_m) \leq h(A_0) + m$ for any evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m$ of length $m \geq 0$.

3.5. **Examples.** 1. All objects of the precategory $\mathcal{SET}$ from Example 2.5.1 are primitive and have zero height. Consider next the precategory $\mathcal{S}$ from Example 2.5.2. A finite non-empty set X is primitive in $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$. Every $X \in \mathcal{S}$ is the terminal object of a full evolution: if $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$, then this is the length 0 evolution (X); if $\mathrm{card}(X) \geq 2$, then this is the length 1 evolution $A \leftarrow X$ where A is a 1-point set and the arrow stands for the only map from X to A. Thus, $h(X) = 0$ if $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$ and $h(X) = 1$ otherwise.

2. Let Γ be a rooted tree, i.e., a connected graph without cycles and with distinguished vertex $*$. The *tree precategory* $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ has the vertices of Γ as objects and the edges of Γ as morphisms. More precisely, if an edge of Γ connects vertices A, B such that a path from $*$ to A misses B, then this edge is a morphism $B \to A$. It is easy to check that: two objects of $\mathcal{O}$ (i.e., two vertices of Γ) are isotypic if and only if they coincide; the vertex $*$ is the only primitive object of $\mathcal{O}$; every $X \in \mathcal{O}$ is the terminal object of a unique full evolution formed by the vertices and edges of the shortest path from $*$ to X, and the height $h(X)$ is the number of edges in this path.

3. An oriented graph G (i.e., a graph with oriented edges) gives rise to a *graph precategory* $\mathcal{O}(G)$. Its objects are the vertices of G. The morphisms $B \to A$ in $\mathcal{O}(G)$ are represented by the edges of G that connect the vertices A, B and are directed toward A. As a specific example, let G have three vertices A, B, C and three edges leading from B to A, from B to C, and from C to B. Then $A \leq B \sim C$ and $h(A) = 0$, $h(B) = 1$, $h(C) = 2$. This shows that isotypic objects of a precategory may have different heights.

4. Universal evolutions and phylogenetic objects

4.1. **Universal evolutions.** A *universal evolution* for an object X of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is a full evolution for X which embeds in all full evolutions for X in $\mathcal{O}$. Thus, all objects of a universal evolution must appear (in the same order) in each full evolution for X, at least up to isotypy. A universal evolution for X is necessarily short. So, its length is equal to $h(X)$ and $h(X) < \infty$.

4.2. **Phylogenetic objects.** An object X of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is *phylogenetic* if there is a universal evolution for X in $\mathcal{O}$. We view such an evolution as the canonical evolutionary history of X. This is justified by the fact (which follows directly from the definitions) that any two universal evolutions for X are isotypic.

For a primitive $X \in \mathcal{O}$, the length 0 evolution (X) is universal. Thus, all primitive objects are phylogenetic. We list a few properties of phylogenetic objects.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a phylogenetic object of a precategory. Then:*
(i) All objects appearing in a universal evolution for X are phylogenetic;
(ii) X has a primitive ancestor and all primitive ancestors of X are isotypic;
(iii) All short full evolutions for X are universal.

Proof. Let $\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ be a universal evolution for $X = A_m$ where $m = h(X)$. To prove (i), pick any $k = 0, 1, ..., m$ and let α_k and α^k be the segments of α defined in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Since α is full, so is α_k. Any full evolution γ for A_k concatenated with α^k yields a full evolution $\gamma\,\alpha^k$ for A_m. Since $\alpha_k\,\alpha^k = \alpha$ is universal, it embeds in $\gamma\,\alpha^k$. Therefore α_k embeds in γ and so α_k is a universal evolution for A_k. Thus, A_k is phylogenetic.

Next, we prove (ii). Clearly, A_0 is a primitive ancestor of X. If $B \leq X$ is another primitive ancestor of X, then there is an evolution $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ from $B_0 = B$ to $B_n = X$. Since B is primitive, β is full. Since α is universal, it embeds in β. Consequently, $A_0 \sim B_k$ for some $k \leq n$. Then $B = B_0 \leq B_k \leq A_0$ so that $B \leq A_0$. The primitivity of A_0 implies that $B \sim A_0$. Thus, all primitive ancestors of X are isotypic to A_0.

To prove (iii), consider a full evolution $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_m)$ for $X = B_m$ of length $m = h(X)$. Since α embeds in β, we have $A_k \sim B_k$ for all $k \leq m$. Therefore β is universal. □

4.3. **Examples.** The full evolutions in the precategories $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ described in Section 3.5 are universal. All objects of these precategories are phylogenetic.

5. Critical ancestors and normal objects

We give a sufficient criterion for objects to be phylogenetic. The criterion is formulated in terms of so-called critical ancestors and normal objects.

5.1. **Critical ancestors.** We say that an object A of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is a *critical ancestor* of $B \in \mathcal{O}$ if $h(A) < \infty$ and there is an evolution $A \leftarrow A_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B$ of non-zero length such that $h(A_1) = h(A) + 1$. It is clear that if A is a critical ancestor of B, then $h(B) < \infty$ and A is a critical ancestor of all descendants

of B. Consequently, isotypic objects have the same critical ancestors. An object isotypic to a critical ancestor of B does not have to be a critical ancestor of B.

Primitive objects have no critical ancestors. Indeed, all ancestors of a primitive object are primitive and have zero height. So the equality $h(A_1) = h(A)+1$ above cannot hold for ancestors A and A_1 of a primitive object. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, for any short full evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m$ in $\mathcal{O}$, the objects $A_0, ..., A_{m-1}$ are critical ancestors of A_m. Thus, any object of $\mathcal{O}$ of finite height $m \geq 1$ has a critical ancestor of height k for $k = 0, 1, ..., m-1$.

5.2. **Normal objects.** An object of a precategory is *normal* if any critical ancestors of this object of the same height are isotypic. For example, all primitive objects are normal because they have no critical ancestors. The first claim of the next theorem implies that all objects isotypic to a normal object are normal.

Theorem 5.1. *Normality is anti-hereditary. All normal objects of finite height are phylogenetic.*

Proof. To prove the first claim, it suffices to show that the non-normality is hereditary, i.e., that all descendants of a non-normal object are non-normal. A non-normal object X has non-isotypic critical ancestors of the same height. They are also critical ancestors of any descendant Y of X. Thus, Y is non-normal.

We now prove that any normal object X of finite height m is phylogenetic. If $m = 0$, then X is primitive and therefore phylogenetic. Assume that $m \geq 1$. We claim that every short full evolution $\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ for $X = A_m$ is universal, i.e., that α embeds in any full evolution $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ for $X = B_n$. Since β starts at an object of zero height and terminates at an object of height m, the step inequality implies that for each $k = 0, 1, ..., m-1$, there is at least one index $r \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ such that $h(B_r) = k$ and $h(B_{r+1}) = k+1$. Let r_k be the smallest such r. Also, set $r_m = n$. We claim that $r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_m$ and $A_k \sim B_{r_k}$ for $k = 0, 1, ..., m$. This will mean that α embeds in β. We first show that $r_{k-1} < r_k$ for $k = 1, ..., m$. Note that the segment $B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_{r_k}$ of β starts from an object of zero height and terminates at an object of height k. By the same argument as above, there is an index $r < r_k$ such that $h(B_r) = k-1$ and $h(B_{r+1}) = k$. On the other hand, r_{k-1} is the smallest such index. Therefore $r_{k-1} < r_k$. The isotypy $A_m \sim B_{r_m}$ is obvious because $A_m = X = B_n = B_{r_m}$. Lemma 3.2 and the definition of r_k imply that for all $k < m$, both A_k and B_{r_k} are critical ancestors of X of height k. By the normality of X, we have $A_k \sim B_{r_k}$. □

5.3. **Critical objects.** In analogy with critical ancestors, we can define critical objects of evolutions. The object A_k with $k < m$ appearing in an evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m$ is *critical* if $h(A_{k+1}) = h(A_k) + 1 < \infty$. The critical objects of any evolution terminating at an object A are critical ancestors of A.

6. Monotonous and phylogenetic precategories

6.1. **Monotonous precategories.** The height of an object may decrease under certain evolutions, and we view such evolutions as degenerate. Thus, we say that a morphism $B \to A$ in a precategory is *degenerate* if $h(A) > h(B)$, i.e., if the height

strictly decreases under the evolution $A \leftarrow B$ represented by this morphism. A precategory is *monotonous* if it has no degenerate morphisms. In other words, a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is monotonous if $A \leq B \Longrightarrow h(A) \leq h(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$. In a monotonous precategory, the descendants of any object A have the height $\geq h(A)$, and isotypic objects have the same height.

Theorem 6.1. *In a monotonous precategory, any evolution from an object of finite height r to an object of height $s \geq r$ has $s-r$ critical objects (see Section 5.3) and their heights are, respectively, $r, r+1, ..., s-1$.*

Proof. The monotonicity and the step inequality imply that for any evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m$ and all $0 \leq k < m$, we have

$$h(A_k) \leq h(A_{k+1}) \leq h(A_k) + 1.$$

Therefore, the sequence $A_0, ..., A_m$ consists of (one or several) objects of height $r = h(A_0)$, followed by (one or several) objects of height $r+1$, etc., ending with objects of height $s = h(A_m)$. This gives $s - r + 1$ non-empty sequences of objects of the same height. The critical objects of the evolution are the last objects in the first $s - r$ sequences. This implies the claim of the theorem. □

Theorem 6.2. *An object of a monotonous precategory is phylogenetic if and only if it is normal and has finite height.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 5.1, it suffices to prove the "only if" part. Consider a phylogenetic object X of a monotonous precategory and a universal evolution $\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ for $X = A_m$ where $m = h(X) < \infty$. The evolution α is short, and so, by Lemma 3.2, the objects $A_0, A_1, ..., A_{m-1}$ are critical ancestors of X of height respectively $0, 1, ..., m-1$. We show that any critical ancestor B of X of height $r \geq 0$ is isotypic to A_r. By the definition of a critical ancestor, there is an evolution $\beta = (B \leftarrow B_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow X)$ with $h(B_1) = r + 1$. By the monotonicity, $h(B_1) \leq h(X) = m$ and so $r < m$. All objects in β except B have the height $\geq h(B_1) = r+1$. Let γ be a short full evolution for B. By Lemma 3.2, all objects in γ except B have the height $< r$. Concatenating γ with β, we obtain a full evolution $\gamma\beta$ for X whose only object of height r is B. Since the universal evolution α embeds in $\gamma\beta$, we have $A_r \sim B$. □

Corollary 6.3. *In a monotonous precategory, the phylogeneticity of objects is anti-hereditary, i.e., all ancestors of a phylogenetic object are phylogenetic.*

Corollary 6.3 implies that in a monotonous precategory, all objects isotypic to a phylogenetic object are phylogenetic and all descendants of a non-phylogenetic object are non-phylogenetic. The proof of Theorem 6.2 yields the following claim.

Corollary 6.4. *In a monotonous precategory, a phylogenetic object X has precisely $h(X)$ isotypy classes of critical ancestors.*

We next show that in a monotonous precategory, the evolutionary history of a phylogenetic object X is determined, up to isotypy, by the isotypy class of X.

Corollary 6.5. *In a monotonous precategory, universal evolutions for isotypic phylogenetic objects are isotypic.*

Proof. Consider universal evolutions $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m$ and $B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_m$ for isotypic phylogenetic objects X, Y of height $m = h(X) = h(Y)$. For any $k = 0, 1, ..., m-1$, the critical ancestors A_k of X and B_k of Y have the same height k. Since $X \sim Y$, B_k is a critical ancestor of X. By Theorem 6.2, X is normal. Hence $A_k \sim B_k$. Also, $A_m = X \sim Y = B_m$. □

The following lemma will be instrumental in Section 7.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\alpha = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_m)$ and $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ be universal evolutions for objects $X = A_m$ and $Y = B_n$ of a monotonous precategory. If $Y \leq X$ and $n = m$, then $A_{m-1} \sim B_{m-1}$. If $Y \leq X$ and $n < m$, then $Y \leq A_{m-1}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $h(A_k) = k$ for all $k \leq m$ and $h(B_l) = l$ for all $l \leq n$. If $Y \leq X$, then there is an evolution γ from Y to X. Since α is universal, it embeds in the evolution $\beta\gamma$ from B_0 to X. So, A_{m-1} is isotypic to an object in $\beta\gamma$. If $n = m$, then all objects in γ have the height $\geq h(Y) = n = m$ and the only object of height $m-1$ in $\beta\gamma$ is B_{m-1}. Thus, $A_{m-1} \sim B_{m-1}$. If $n < m$, then all objects in β other than B_n have the height $\leq m-2$. In this case, A_{m-1} has to be isotypic to an object C in γ and so $Y \leq C \leq A_{m-1}$. □

6.2. **Phylogenetic precategories.** We say that a precategory is *small* if the isotypy classes of its objects form a set. This technical condition allows us to use the language of set theory. It is satisfied in all our examples.

A precategory is *phylogenetic* if it is small, monotonous, and all its objects are phylogenetic. The results of Section 6.1 fully apply to such precategories.

It is easy to check that the precategories $\mathcal{SET}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ from Section 2.5 as well as the tree precategory $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ from Section 3.5.2 are phylogenetic. Further examples of phylogenetic precategories can be derived from various algebraic theories involving filtrations. As a specific case, we consider a precategory of finite nilpotent groups. (Similar phylogenetic precategories can be formed from finite solvable groups and from nilpotent/solvable finite-dimensional Lie algebras over a field.) Recall the lower central series $G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots$ of a group G: by definition, $G_0 = G$ and for $n \geq 0$, the group $G_{n+1} \subset G_n$ is generated by the commutators $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ with $x \in G_n$ and $y \in G$. The group G is *nilpotent* if $G_n = \{1\}$ for some $n \geq 0$, and the smallest such n is denoted $n(G)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the precategory formed by finite nilpotent groups and group epimorphisms $f : G \to H$ such that $n(G) \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(f) \subset G_{n(G)-1}$. It is easy to check that: groups in $\mathcal{N}$ are isotypic if and only if they are isomorphic; a group in $\mathcal{N}$ is primitive as an object if and only if it is trivial; for any $G \in \mathcal{N}$, the sequence of quotient groups and projections

$$G/G_0 \longleftarrow G/G_1 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow G/G_{n(G)} = G$$

is a universal evolution for G. The precategory $\mathcal{N}$ is phylogenetic.

6.3. **Remarks.** 1. Forgetting all degenerate morphisms in an arbitrary precategory, we obtain a monotonous precategory. This operation does not change the height of the objects and preserves the primitive objects.

2. Any small monotonous precategory $\mathcal{O}$ determines a precategory $\mathcal{O}'$ consisting of all phylogenetic objects of $\mathcal{O}$ and all morphisms between them in $\mathcal{O}$. It is easy to show using Corollary 6.3 that $\mathcal{O}'$ is phylogenetic.

7. The evolutionary sequence and E-sequences

7.1. **The evolutionary sequence.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a phylogenetic precategory. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ the set of isotypy classes of objects of $\mathcal{O}$. Each object $A \in \mathcal{O}$ represents an element $[A]$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Two objects $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ represent the same element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ if and only if $A \sim B$. The preorder $\leq$ in the class of objects of $\mathcal{O}$ induces a relation $\leq$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$: for $a, b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we set $a \leq b$ if $A \leq B$ for some (and then for all) $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ representing respectively a and b. The relation $\leq$ in the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a partial order, i.e., it is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric (if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, then $a = b$). Clearly, $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \amalg_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_m$ where $\mathcal{O}_m$ is the set of isotypy classes of objects of $\mathcal{O}$ of height m. For each $m \geq 1$, we define the *parental map*

$$p = p_m : \mathcal{O}_m \to \mathcal{O}_{m-1} \tag{7.1.1}$$

as follows. For any $X \in \mathcal{O}$ of height $m \geq 1$, consider a universal evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_{m-1} \leftarrow A_m = X$ and set $p([X]) = [A_{m-1}]$. Corollary 6.5 implies that this yields a well-defined map (7.1.1). The sequence of sets and maps

$$\mathcal{O}_0 \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_1 \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_2 \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_3 \xleftarrow{p} \cdots \tag{7.1.2}$$

is called the *evolutionary sequence* of $\mathcal{O}$. The following theorem summarizes the properties of the parental maps and the partial order in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and $b \in \mathcal{O}_n$ with $m, n \geq 0$. Then:*
(i) $a \leq b$ if and only if $m \leq n$ and $a \leq p^{n-m}(b) \in \mathcal{O}_m$;
(ii) For $m = n = 0$, we have $a \leq b$ if and only if $a = b$;
(iii) For $m = n \geq 1$, if $a \leq b$, then $p(a) = p(b) \in \mathcal{O}_{m-1}$.

Proof. We start with Claim (i). Suppose that $a \leq b$. By the monotonicity, $m \leq n$. If $m = n$, then $a \leq b = p^{n-m}(b)$. If $m < n$, then the second claim of Lemma 6.6 implies that $a \leq p(b)$. Iterating, we get $a \leq p^{n-m}(b)$. Conversely, suppose that $m \leq n$ and $a \leq p^{n-m}(b)$. It follows from the definitions that $p(b) \leq b$ for all b. Hence,

$$a \leq p^{n-m}(b) \leq p^{n-m-1}(b) \leq \cdots \leq p(b) \leq b.$$

Claim (ii) holds because any object of zero height is primitive and so is isotypic to all its ancestors. Claim (iii) follows from the first claim of Lemma 6.6. □

Claim (i) of Theorem 7.1 implies that the partial order in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is fully determined by its restrictions to the sets $\{\mathcal{O}_m\}_m$ and the parental maps.

7.2. **E-sequences.** Axiomatizing the properties of the evolutionary sequences, we define so-called E-sequences. An *E-sequence* consists of partially ordered sets $(P_m, \leq)_{m\geq 0}$ and maps $(p = p_m : P_m \to P_{m-1})_{m\geq 1}$ such that the partial order in P_0 is trivial and for any $a, b \in P_m$ with $m \geq 1$, if $a \leq b$, then $p(a) = p(b)$. We will sometimes use the strict partial order $<$ in P_m defined by $a < b$ if $a \leq b$ and $a \neq b$. Two E-sequences P and P' are *isomorphic* if there are bijections $\{f_m : P_m \to P'_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ such that for all $m \geq 1$, we have $p' f_m = f_{m-1} p : P_m \to P'_{m-1}$ and f_m is order-preserving in the sense that $a \leq b \Longleftrightarrow f_m(a) \leq f_m(b)$ for any $a, b \in P_m$.

By Theorem 7.1, the evolutionary sequence of a phylogenetic precategory is an E-sequence. We show now that all E-sequences arise in this way.

Theorem 7.2. *Every E-sequence is isomorphic to the evolutionary sequence of a phylogenetic precategory.*

Proof. Given an E-sequence P, we define a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{O}$ are the elements of the set $\amalg_{m\geq 0} P_m$. For all $a, b \in P_m$ with $m \geq 0$, there is a single morphism $a \to b$ if $b < a$ and a single morphism $a \to p(a) \in P_{m-1}$ if $m \geq 1$. We claim that the precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is phylogenetic, and its evolutionary sequence is isomorphic to P. A typical evolutionary chain in $\mathcal{O}$ starts at some $a_0 \in P_m$ with $m \geq 0$ and consecutively takes bigger and bigger elements $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots$ of P_m. At some step, one either stops at $a_i \in P_m$ or proceeds by taking for a_{i+1} an element of $p^{-1}(a_i) \subset P_{m+1}$. Then the whole process is repeated starting at a_{i+1}, etc. (One must eventually stop since our evolutionary chains are finite.) The antisymmetry of the partial order implies that an evolution in $\mathcal{O}$ of non-zero length cannot start and end at the same object. Consequently, isotypic objects of $\mathcal{O}$ coincide so that $\mathcal{O}$ is small and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \amalg_{m\geq 0} P_m$. The primitive objects of $\mathcal{O}$ are just the elements of P_0 (here we use that the partial order in P_0 is trivial). The full evolutions for any $a \in P_m$ with $m \geq 1$ start at $p^m(a) \in P_0$ and include the objects $\{p^k(a)\}_{k=1}^m$. Therefore the evolution

$$p^m(a) \leftarrow p^{m-1}(a) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p(a) \leftarrow a$$

is universal for a. As a consequence, $h(a) = m$ and $\mathcal{O}_m = P_m$. Therefore the precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is monotonuous and all its objects are phylogenetic. It is clear that the evolutionary sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to P. □

8. The evolutionary graph and the tree metric

8.1. **The evolutionary graph.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a phylogenetic precategory. The *evolutionary graph* $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ has the set of vertices $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \cup_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{O}_m$. A vertex $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ with $m \geq 1$ is connected by an edge to a vertex $b \in \mathcal{O}_{m-1}$ if the isotypy classes a, b have representatives respectively $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ such that there is a morphism $A \to B$ in $\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 8.1. *All components of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ are trees. If $\mathcal{O}$ is primary, then $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ is a tree.*

Proof. Any sequence of sets and maps

$$L_0 \xleftarrow{p} L_1 \xleftarrow{p} L_2 \xleftarrow{p} L_3 \xleftarrow{p} \cdots$$

determines a graph as follows. Take the disjoint union $\amalg_{m\geq 0} L_m$ as the set of vertices and connect each $a \in L_m$ with $m \geq 1$ to $p(a) \in L_{m-1}$ by an edge. It is obvious that all components of the resulting graph are trees and each component has a unique vertex in L_0. Applying this construction to the sequence (7.1.2), we obtain a graph, Δ. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that $\Delta = \Gamma(\mathcal{O})$. The graphs Δ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ have the same set of vertices $\amalg_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{O}_m = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, and we need only to compare the edges. Pick any $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ with $m \geq 1$ represented by $A \in \mathcal{O}$ and pick a universal evolution $A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_{m-1} \leftarrow A_m = A$ for A. Then the vertices a and $p(a) = [A_{m-1}] \in \mathcal{O}_{m-1}$ of Δ are related by an edge. Since the isotypy classes $a, p(a)$ are represented respectively by A_m, A_{m-1} and there is a morphism $A_m \to A_{m-1}$ in $\mathcal{O}$, the vertices a and $p(a)$ are related by an edge in $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$. Conversely, if $a \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and $b \in \mathcal{O}_{m-1}$ with $m \geq 1$ are connected by an edge in $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$, then a, b are represented respectively by certain $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ such that there is a morphism $A \to B$ in $\mathcal{O}$. Taking a full evolution for B of length $m-1$ and concatenating it with a morphism $A \to B$, we obtain a full evolution $\cdots \leftarrow B \leftarrow A$ for A of length m. Since $h(A) = m$, the latter evolution is short. By Theorem 4.1(iii), it is universal. Therefore $p(a) = [B] = b$, and so a, b are related by an edge in Δ. □

8.2. **Examples.** The evolutionary graph of the precategory $\mathcal{SET}$ is a single point. The evolutionary graph of the precategory $\mathcal{S}$ from Example 2.5.2 can be identified with a wedge of a countable number of segments. The evolutionary graph of the tree precategory $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ from Example 3.5.2 can be identified with Γ.

8.3. **The tree metric.** Using the evolutionary tree $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ of a primary phylogenetic precategory $\mathcal{O}$, we define the *tree metric* d in the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \cup_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{O}_m$ (the necessary notions from the theory of metric spaces are recalled in Section 11.1). By definition, the distance $d(a, b)$ between any $a, b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the minimal number of edges in a path in $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ connecting a and b. Clearly, $d(a, b) = k + l$ where k, l are minimal non-negative integers such that $p^k(a) = p^l(b)$. The element $p^k(a) = p^l(b)$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the *last common ancestor* of a and b. If $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_m$ for some $m \geq 0$, then $k = l$ and $d(a, b) = 2k$. It is easy to see that the restriction of d to $\mathcal{O}_m$ is an ultrametric for all m.

9. Clades in phylogenetic precategories

9.1. **Clades.** Given an object A of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ and its descendant $B \in \mathcal{O}$, one may ask for the minimal length of an evolution starting at A (or at an isotypic object) and ending at B. This question is best addressed in terms of the precategory $\mathcal{O}_A$ formed by all descendants of A in $\mathcal{O}$ and all morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$ between them. Following the standard taxonomic terminology, we call $\mathcal{O}_A$ the *clade* of A. Clearly, $A \in \mathcal{O}_A$. We state a few simple properties of the clades.

Lemma 9.1. *For any object A of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$, its clade $\mathcal{O}_A$ is a primary precategory whose primitive objects are the objects of $\mathcal{O}$ isotypic to A. All objects of $\mathcal{O}_A$ have a finite height in $\mathcal{O}_A$.*

Proof. A descendant B of A is primitive in $\mathcal{O}_A$ if and only if $C \leq B \Longrightarrow B \leq C$ for all $C \in \mathcal{O}_A$. In particular, if B is primitive, then the relation $A \leq B$ implies that $B \leq A$, i.e., that $A \sim B$. Conversely, if $B \in \mathcal{O}$ is isotypic to A, then $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and for any $C \in \mathcal{O}_A$, we have $B \leq A \leq C$ so that $B \leq C$. Thus, B is primitive as an object of $\mathcal{O}_A$. We conclude that the primitive objects of $\mathcal{O}_A$ are precisely the objects isotypic to A. Consequently, $\mathcal{O}_A$ is primary. Since A is primitive in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and all objects of $\mathcal{O}_A$ are terminal objects of evolutions starting at A, all objects of $\mathcal{O}_A$ have a final height in $\mathcal{O}_A$. □

The height of $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$ in $\mathcal{O}_A$ is denoted by $h_A(B)$. For monotonous $\mathcal{O}$, we can estimate $h_A(B)$ from below via the height h in $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 9.2. *If $\mathcal{O}$ is monotonous, then for any $A \in \mathcal{O}$ and $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$,*

$$h_A(B) \geq h(B) - h(A) \geq 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 9.1, a full evolution for B in $\mathcal{O}_A$ of length $h_A(B)$ starts at an object $C \in \mathcal{O}$ isotypic to A. Concatenating this evolution with a short full evolution for C in $\mathcal{O}$, we obtain a full evolution for B in $\mathcal{O}$ of length $h(C)+h_A(B)$. Therefore $h(C)+h_A(B) \geq h(B)$. Since A and C are isotypic, $h(C) = h(A)$. Thus, $h_A(B) \geq h(B) - h(A)$. By the monotonicity of $\mathcal{O}$, we have $h(B) - h(A) \geq 0$. □

9.2. **Regular objects.** We say that an object A of a precategory $\mathcal{O}$ is *regular* if for any $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$ such that $h(A) = h(B)$, there is a morphism $B \to A$ in $\mathcal{O}$. This condition is a very weak form of a composition law in $\mathcal{O}$; indeed it may be rephrased by saying that if there is a chain of morphisms $A \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B$ and $h(A) = h(B)$, then there is a morphism from B to A. The reader may check that in our examples of phylogenetic precategories, all objects are regular.

Theorem 9.3. *The clade of a regular object of a phylogenetic precategory is phylogenetic.*

The proof of this theorem occupies Sections 9.3 and 9.4.

9.3. **Lemmas.** In this section, A is an object of a phylogenetic precategory $\mathcal{O}$ and $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Set $m = h(A) \geq 0$ and $n = h(B) \geq m$. Recall the isotypy classes $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and $[B] \in \mathcal{O}_n$.

Lemma 9.4. *If the iterated parental map $p^{n-m} : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_m$ carries $[B]$ to $[A]$, then B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $h_A(B) = n - m$.*

Proof. If $n = m$, then the condition $p^{n-m}([B]) = [A]$ means that $B \sim A$. Then $h_A(B) = 0 = n - m$ and B is primitive in $\mathcal{O}_A$. So, B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$. Suppose that $n > m$. Pick a universal evolution $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ for $B = B_n$ in $\mathcal{O}$. The condition $p^{n-m}([B]) = [A]$ implies that $B_m \sim A$. Then $B_m \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and the segment $\beta^m = (B_m \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ of β is an evolution in $\mathcal{O}_A$ of length $n - m$. Since $B_m \sim A$, β^m is a full evolution in $\mathcal{O}_A$ for $B = B_n$. Given a short full evolution α for A in $\mathcal{O}$ and an evolution γ from A to B, the evolution β must embed in $\alpha\gamma$. Comparing the heights in $\mathcal{O}$, we obtain that β^m embeds in γ. Thus, β^m is a universal evolution for $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$, B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$, and $h_A(B) = n - m$. □

Lemma 9.5. *If $p^{n-m}([B]) \neq [A]$ and A is regular, then B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $h_A(B) = n - m + 1$.*

Proof. If $n = m$, then the condition $p^{n-m}([B]) \neq [A]$ means that A and B are not isotypic so that B is not primitive in $\mathcal{O}_A$. The regularity of A and the assumption $n = m$ imply that there is a morphism $B \to A$ in $\mathcal{O}$. This morphism yields a length 1 universal evolution for $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Consequently, B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $h_A(B) = 1 = n-m+1$. Suppose now that $n > m$. Pick a universal evolution $\beta = (B_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ for $B = B_n$ in $\mathcal{O}$. We claim that $B_m \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Indeed, since $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$, there is an evolution $\gamma = (A_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_k)$ from $A = A_0$ to $B = A_k$ for some $k \geq 0$. Concatenating a short full evolution α for A with γ, we obtain a full evolution $\alpha\gamma$ for B in $\mathcal{O}$. Since β is universal, it embeds in $\alpha\gamma$. Comparing the heights, we deduce that the segment $\beta^m = (B_m \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow B_n)$ of β embeds in γ. In particular, $B_m \sim A_i$ for some i. So $A \leq A_i \leq B_m$ and $B_m \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Now, the condition $p^{n-m}([B]) \neq [A]$ implies that B_m and $A = A_0$ are not isotypic; thus, $i \neq 0$. The regularity of A and the equalities $h(B_m) = m = h(A)$ imply that there is a morphism $B_m \to A$ in $\mathcal{O}$. Concatenating this morphism with β^m, we obtain an evolution β^m_+ from A to $B = B_n$ of length $n-m+1$. The argument above shows that β^m_+ embeds in any evolution γ from A to B. Therefore, β^m_+ is a universal evolution for $B \in \mathcal{O}_A$, B is phylogenetic in $\mathcal{O}_A$, and $h_A(B) = n - m + 1$. □

9.4. **Proof of Theorem 9.3.** Let A be a regular object of a phylogenetic precategory $\mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is small, so is the clade $\mathcal{O}_A$ of A. In view of Lemmas 9.4 and 9.5, we need only to prove that $\mathcal{O}_A$ is monotonous, i.e., that $h_A(B) \leq h_A(C)$ for any $B, C \in \mathcal{O}_A$ such that there is a morphism $B \leftarrow C$ in $\mathcal{O}$. By the monotonicity of $\mathcal{O}$ and the definition of the height,

$$h(A) \leq h(B) \leq h(C) \leq h(B) + 1.$$

We separate three cases.

Case $h(A) = h(B) = h(C)$. If $B \sim A$, then $h_A(B) = 0 \leq h_A(C)$. If $C \sim A$, then $A \leq B \leq C \leq A$ so that $B \sim A$ and we proceed as above. If neither B nor C are isotypic to A, then Lemma 9.5 applies to B, C and gives $h_A(B) = h_A(C) = 1$.

Case $h(A) < h(B) = h(C)$. Since there is a morphism $B \leftarrow C$ and $h(B) = h(C)$, Theorem 7.1(iii) yields $p([B]) = p([C])$. Then either Lemma 9.4 gives

$$h_A(B) = h(B) - h(A) = h(C) - h(A) = h_A(C)$$

or Lemma 9.5 gives

$$h_A(B) = h(B) - h(A) + 1 = h(C) - h(A) + 1 = h_A(C).$$

Case $h(C) = h(B) + 1$. Then $p([C]) = [B]$ and either Lemma 9.4 gives

$$h_A(B) = h(B) - h(A) = h(C) - 1 - h(A) = h_A(C) - 1$$

or Lemma 9.5 gives

$$h_A(B) = h(B) - h(A) + 1 = h(C) - h(A) = h_A(C) - 1.$$

In all cases, $h_A(B) \leq h_A(C)$.

10. Timing and reconstruction

10.1. **Timing.** So far we have studied evolutions in a static world in which all objects (species) coexist together. To relate to the real world, we briefly discuss the timeline. One way to involve time is to accept the following principles:

(i) (the moment zero) all primitive objects come to existence at the same moment of time, the moment zero;

(ii) (the molecular clock) the time needed for an accomplishment of an evolutionary chain is equal to its length times a constant coefficient C;

(iii) (the least wait) every non-primitive object comes to existence at the earliest possibility, i.e., at the end of a short full evolution.

These principles ensure that each object X of a phylogenetic precategory $\mathcal{O}$ evolves in the moment of time $C \cdot h(X)$. The sequence (7.1.2) is then the sequence of generations: each $\mathcal{O}_m$ with $m \geq 0$ is the generation of objects that have evolved at the moment of time Cm. The principle (ii) above may be generalized by agreeing that each morphism carries a positive length and the length of any evolution is the sum of the lengths of the constituent morphisms.

10.2. **Reconstruction.** The main aim of phylogenetics is to recover the evolutionary tree from the current generation of species. In our context, the reconstruction aims to recover the initial segment

$$P_0 \xleftarrow{p} P_1 \xleftarrow{p} \cdots \xleftarrow{p} P_N \tag{10.2.1}$$

of an E-sequence from the set P_N, eventually endowed with additional data. For simplicity, we assume that P_0 is a 1-point set and all maps p are surjective. One well-known approach to reconstruction uses the ultrametric ρ in P_N defined by letting the distance $\rho(a, b)$ between any $a, b \in P_N$ to be the minimal integer $k \geq 0$ such that $p^k(a) = p^k(b)$. The sets and maps (10.2.1) can be fully recovered from the ultrametric space (P_N, ρ): for all $r \geq 0$, elements of P_r can be identified with balls in P_N or radius $N - r$; the map $p : P_r \to P_{r-1}$ carries such a ball $B \subset P_N$ to the unique ball of radius $N - r + 1$ in P_N containing B. Next, we encode in terms of P_N the given strict partial order $<$ in $P_1, ..., P_N$. To this end, we define a binary relation $\prec$ in P_N by $a \prec b$ if $a \neq b$ and $p^{k-1}(a) < p^{k-1}(b)$ where $k = \rho(a, b) \geq 1$. The strict partial order $<$ in each P_r is fully determined by $\prec$ and ρ: two balls $B, B' \subset P_N$ or radius $N - r$ satisfy $B < B'$ if and only if $a \prec b$ and $\rho(a, b) = N - r + 1$ for some (and then for all) $a \in B, b \in B'$. In particular, for $r = N$, two points $a, b \in P_N$ satisfy $a < b$ if and only if $a \prec b$ and $\rho(a, b) = 1$.

One can show that a binary relation $\prec$ in an ultrametric space (X, ρ) arises in this way from the initial segment of length $N \geq 1$ of an E-sequence if and only if

(i) the ultrametric ρ takes values in the set $\{0, 1, ..., N\}$;

(ii) $a \prec b \Longrightarrow b \not\prec a$ for all $a, b \in X$ (in particular, $a \not\prec a$ for all $a \in X$);

(iii) for any distinct $a, b, c \in X$,

- if $a \prec b$ and $\rho(a, c) < \rho(a, b)$, then $c \prec b$;
- if $a \prec b$ and $\rho(b, c) < \rho(a, b)$, then $a \prec c$;
- if $a \prec b \prec c$ and $\rho(a, b) = \rho(a, c) = \rho(b, c)$, then $a \prec c$.

11. The precategory of finite ultrametric spaces

We form a phylogenetic precategory from finite ultrametric spaces and contractions. We first recall the definitions of metric and ultrametric spaces.

11.1. **Metrics and ultrametrics.** A *metric space* is a pair (X, d) consisting of a non-empty set X and a map $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, the *metric*, such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ we have $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $d(x, y) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow x = y$, and

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z). \tag{11.1.1}$$

An isometry between metric spaces is a metric-preserving bijection. A metric space (X, d) is *finite* if X is a finite set.

An *ultrametric space* in a metric space (X, d) such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$d(x, y) \leq \max(d(x, z), d(y, z)). \tag{11.1.2}$$

The metric d is called then an *ultrametric*. The condition (11.1.2) is stronger than (11.1.1); it implies that for any $x, y, z \in X$, two of the numbers $d(x, y)$, $d(x, z)$, $d(y, z)$ are equal to each other and are greater than or equal to the third number.

11.2. **Contractions.** For a real number $\epsilon > 0$, we call a map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces $X = (X, d)$ and $Y = (Y, \rho)$ an *ϵ-contraction* if $f(X) = Y$ and

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y) - \varepsilon \tag{11.2.1}$$

for any distinct $x, y \in X$. Various ϵ-contractions with $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ are collectively called *contractions*. The contractions are surjective but not necessarily bijective. The composition of two contractions is not necessarily a contraction.

Theorem 11.1. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the precategory whose objects are finite ultrametric spaces and whose morphisms are contractions and isometries. Then:*

(i) Finite ultrametric spaces are isotypic in $\mathcal{U}$ (cf. Section 2.3) if and only if they are isometric;

(ii) A finite ultrametric space is a primitive object of $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if it consists of only one point;

(iii) The height of a finite ultrametric space $X = (X, d)$ in $\mathcal{U}$ is equal to the number of non-zero elements in the set $d(X \times X) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$;

(iv) The precategory $\mathcal{U}$ is phylogenetic and primary;

(v) All objects of $\mathcal{U}$ are regular.

The proof of Theorem 11.1 occupies the rest of this section.

11.3. **Two lemmas.** For a finite metric space $X = (X, d)$, we set

$$||X|| = \sum_{x,y \in X} d(x, y).$$

Lemma 11.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an ϵ-contraction between finite metric spaces $X = (X, d)$ and $Y = (Y, \rho)$. If* $\mathrm{card}(Y) \geq 2$*, then $||X|| > ||Y||$.*

Proof. Since $f(X) = Y$, we can pick for each $a \in Y$ a point $\overline{a} \in f^{-1}(a)$. Then

$$||Y|| = \sum_{a,b \in Y} \rho(a,b) = \sum_{a,b \in Y, a \neq b} (d(\overline{a},\overline{b}) - \varepsilon) < \sum_{a,b \in Y, a \neq b} d(\overline{a},\overline{b}) \leq ||X||.$$

□

For a finite metric space $X = (X,d)$ with $\mathrm{card}(X) \geq 2$, we set

$$|X| = \min\{d(x,y) \,|\, x,y \in X, x \neq y\}.$$

Lemma 11.3. *If an ϵ-contraction $f : X \to Y$ between finite metric spaces $X = (X,d)$ and $Y = (Y,\rho)$ is not a bijection, then* $\mathrm{card}(X) \geq 2$ *and $|X| = \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Since the surjective map f is not a bijection, $\mathrm{card}(X) > \mathrm{card}(Y) \geq 1$ and so $\mathrm{card}(X) \geq 2$. For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \geq 0$ and by (11.2.1), $d(x,y) \geq \varepsilon$. Hence, $|X| \geq \varepsilon$. Since f is not injective, $f(x) = f(y)$ for some distinct $x, y \in X$. By (11.2.1), $d(x,y) = \varepsilon$. Consequently, $|X| = \varepsilon$. □

11.4. **Proof of Theorem 11.1.** Since isometries are morphisms in $\mathcal{U}$ and each morphism determines a length 1 evolution, isometric ultrametric spaces are isotypic in $\mathcal{U}$. Conversely, consider isotypic $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$. If $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$, then the condition $Y \leq X$ implies that $\mathrm{card}(Y) = 1$. If $\mathrm{card}(Y) = 1$, then the condition $X \leq Y$ implies that $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$. In both cases, X is isometric to Y. Suppose that $\mathrm{card}(X) \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{card}(Y) \geq 2$. Since $Y \leq X$, there is an evolution $Y \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow X$ in $\mathcal{U}$. By Lemma 11.2, either all morphisms in this evolution are isometries or $||Y|| < ||X||$. Similarly, the relation $X \leq Y$ implies that either X and Y are isometric or $||X|| < ||Y||$. Since we cannot have $||Y|| < ||X|| < ||Y||$, the only option is that X and Y are isometric. This proves (i).

To proceed, we define certain morphisms in $\mathcal{U}$. Given $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ and a finite ultrametric space $X = (X,d)$ having at least two points, the formula

$$d_\varepsilon(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ d(x,y) - \varepsilon & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

defines a map $d_\varepsilon : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$. If $\epsilon < |X|$, then d_ε is an ultrametric in X and the identity map $\mathrm{id}_X : (X,d) \to (X,d_\varepsilon)$ is a bijective ε-contraction. For $\epsilon = |X|$, the map $d^\bullet = d_{|X|} : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies all requirements on an ultrametric except one: there are distinct $x, y \in X$ with $d^\bullet(x,y) = 0$. We define a relation $\sim_{d^\bullet}$ in X by $x_1 \sim_{d^\bullet} x_2$ if $d^\bullet(x_1,x_2) = 0$. It is straightforward to check that $\sim_{d^\bullet}$ is an equivalence relation. Let $Y = X/\!\sim_{d^\bullet}$ be the quotient set and let $p : X \to Y$ be the projection. Then there is a unique map $\rho : Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $d^\bullet(x,y) = \rho(p(x),p(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. The map ρ is an ultrametric. We denote the ultrametric space (Y,ρ) by $u(X)$. Clearly, the projection $p : X \to u(X)$ is an $|X|$-contraction, and $u(X)$ has strictly less points than X. Applying this construction recursively, we obtain an evolution in $\mathcal{U}$

$$u^m(X) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow u^2(X) \leftarrow u(X) \leftarrow X \tag{11.4.1}$$

where m is the smallest integer such that $u^m(X)$ has only one point. Thus, X has a 1-point ancestor. We can now prove Claim (ii) of the theorem. By the definition

of $\mathcal{U}$, the only morphisms from a 1-point ultrametric space A to objects of $\mathcal{U}$ are isometries. Thus, all ancestors of A are isometric to A, and so A is primitive. If $X \in \mathcal{U}$ is primitive, then X is isotypic to all its ancestors. By the above, X is isotypic to a 1-point space. By (i), X is a 1-point space. This proves (ii).

We verify now that every object $X \in \mathcal{U}$ is phylogenetic. Let α be the full evolution (11.4.1) for X. We claim that α is universal. We must show that α embeds into an arbitrary full evolution for X, say,

$$\beta = (B_0 \xleftarrow{f_1} B_1 \xleftarrow{f_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{f_{n-1}} B_{n-1} \xleftarrow{f_n} B_n = X)$$

where B_0 is a 1-point space. Note that if for some $k = 1, ..., n-1$, the morphism $f_k : B_k \to B_{k-1}$ in β is an isometry, then we can delete B_k from β and replace f_k, f_{k+1} with their composition $f_k f_{k+1} : B_{k+1} \to B_{k-1}$ which is a contraction or an isometry depending on whether f_{k+1} is a contraction or an isometry. This gives a shorter full evolution β' for X which embeds in β. It suffices to prove that α embeds in β'. Similarly, if the morphism $f_n : B_n \to B_{n-1}$ in β is an isometry, then we can delete B_{n-1} from β and replace f_{n-1}, f_n with their composition. Thus, we can reduce ourselves to the case where all morphisms in β are contractions. In the same way, we can get rid of *bijective* contractions in β using the fact that the composition of a bijective ε-contraction with any ε'-contraction is a $(\varepsilon + \varepsilon')$-contraction. It remains therefore to treat the case where all morphisms in β are non-bijective contractions. In particular, $f_n : X = B_n \to B_{n-1}$ is a non-bijective ε-contraction for some $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 11.3, $\varepsilon = |X|$. Consequently, B_{n-1} is isometric to $u(X)$. Proceeding by induction, we obtain that B_{n-k} is isometric to $u^k(X)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Since m is the smallest integer such that $u^m(X)$ has only one point and since B_0 is the only 1-point space in β, we conclude that $m = n$ and that the evolutions α and β are isotypic. In particular, α embeds in β. This proves the universality of α.

To prove (iii), let $N(X) = \mathrm{card}(d(X \times X))$ be the number of values of the ultrametric d in X. We claim that $h(X) = N(X) - 1$. By the above, the evolution (11.4.1) is universal and so $h(X) = m$. The values of the ultrametric in $u(X)$ are obtained from those of d by finding the smallest non-zero value of d and subtracting it from all non-zero values of d. Therefore $N(u(X)) = N(X) - 1$. Inductively, $N(u^k(X)) = N(X) - k$ for $k = 1, ..., m$. Since $u^m(X)$ is a 1-point set, $1 = N(u^m(X)) = N(X) - m$. Thus, $h(X) = m = N(X) - 1$.

We now prove (iv). That $\mathcal{U}$ is small follows from (i). Since all 1-point spaces are isometric (and therefore isotypic), $\mathcal{U}$ is primary. For any contraction or isometry $X \to Y$ between finite ultrametric spaces, one easily checks that $N(X) \geq N(Y)$. Therefore $h(X) \geq h(Y)$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}$ is monotonous.

We leave it to the reader to check that all objects of $\mathcal{U}$ are regular.

12. The precategory of finite metric spaces

We form a phylogenetic precategory from finite metric spaces. We start by defining trim metric spaces and drifts following [Tu].

12.1. **Trim metric spaces.** We say that a metric space (X, d) is *trim* if either $\mathrm{card}(X) = 1$ or for each $x \in X$, there are distinct $y, z \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$d(x, y) + d(x, z) = d(y, z).$$

The latter equality may be expressed by saying that x lies between y and z. The class of finite trim metric spaces is quite narrow. In particular, there are no trim metric spaces having two points or three points. A finite subset of a Euclidean space with ≥ 2 points and with the induced metric cannot be trim. Indeed, such a subset must contain a pair of points lying at the maximal distance; these points cannot lie between other points of the subset. For examples of trim metric spaces, we refer to [Tu].

With any metric space (X, d), we associate a function $\underline{d} : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as follows: if X has only one point, then $\underline{d} = 0$; if X has two points x, y, then $\underline{d}(x) = \underline{d}(y) = d(x, y)/2$; if X has three or more points, then for all $x \in X$,

$$\underline{d}(x) = \inf_{y,z \in X \setminus \{x\}, y \neq z} \frac{d(x, y) + d(x, z) - d(y, z)}{2} \geq 0.$$

It is easy to check that $\underline{d}(x) + \underline{d}(y) \leq d(x, y)$ for any distinct $x, y \in X$, see [Tu]. It follows from the definitions that $\underline{d} = 0$ if and only if (X, d) is trim.

12.2. **Drifts.** We call a map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces $X = (X, d)$ and $Y = (Y, \rho)$ a *drift* if $f(X) = Y$ and for any distinct $x, y \in X$,

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y) - \underline{d}(x) - \underline{d}(y). \tag{12.2.1}$$

A drift is surjective but not necessarily bijective. If X is trim, then all drifts $X \to Y$ are isometries. We state a version of Theorem 11.1 for metric spaces.

Theorem 12.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the precategory whose objects are finite metric spaces and whose morphisms are drifts and isometries. Then:*

(i) Finite metric spaces are isotypic in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if they are isometric;

(ii) A finite metric space is a primitive object of $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if it is trim;

(iii) The precategory $\mathcal{M}$ is phylogenetic;

(iv) All objects of $\mathcal{M}$ are regular.

Proof. Recall from Section 11.3 the isometry invariant $||X||$ of a finite metric space X. It is clear that for any morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{M}$, either $||X|| > ||Y||$ or f is an isometry. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ are isotypic, then applying this argument to the morphisms in an evolution $Y \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow X$, we obtain that either $||X|| > ||Y||$ or all these morphisms are isometries. Similarly, either $||Y|| > ||X||$ or all morphisms in an evolution $X \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow Y$ are isometries. This proves (i).

To proceed, consider a finite metric space $X = (X, d)$ with at least two points. The formula

$$d^\bullet(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ d(x, y) - \underline{d}(x) - \underline{d}(y) & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

defines a map $d^\bullet : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies all requirements on a metric except, possibly, one: there may be distinct $x, y \in X$ with $d^\bullet(x, y) = 0$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim_{d^\bullet}$ in X by $x_1 \sim_{d^\bullet} x_2$ if $d^\bullet(x_1, x_2) = 0$. Let $Y = X/\sim_{d^\bullet}$

be the quotient set and let $p : X \to Y$ be the projection. Then there is a unique map $\rho : Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $d^\bullet(x, y) = \rho(p(x), p(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. The map ρ is a metric in Y, and we denote the metric space (Y, ρ) by $v(X)$. Clearly, the projection $X \to v(X)$ is a drift. Applying this procedure recursively, we obtain an evolution in $\mathcal{M}$

$$v^m(X) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow v^2(X) \leftarrow v(X) \leftarrow X \tag{12.2.2}$$

where $m \geq 0$ is the smallest integer such that $v^m(X)$ is trim. (The existence of such an m follows from the fact that if a drift $X \to Y$ is bijective, then Y is trim, cf. [Tu], Lemma 2.1). We conclude that each object of $\mathcal{M}$ has a trim ancestor.

We can now prove Claim (ii) of the theorem. By the definition of $\mathcal{M}$, the only morphisms from a trim metric space X to objects of $\mathcal{M}$ are isometries. Thus, all ancestors of X are isometric to X, and so X is primitive. Conversely, if $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is primitive, then X is isotypic to all its ancestors. By the above, X is isotypic to a trim metric space. By (i), X is itself a trim metric space.

As in the proof of Theorem 11.1, the evolution (12.2.2) is universal, and so all objects of $\mathcal{M}$ are phylogenetic. We leave it to the reader to check that $\mathcal{M}$ is small, monotonous, and all its objects are regular. □

References

[DHKMS] A. Dress, K. Huber, J. Koolen, V. Moulton, A. Spillner, Basic phylogenetic combinatorics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. xii+264 pp.

[ERSS] N. Eriksson, K. Ranestad, B. Sturmfels, S. Sullivant, Phylogenetic algebraic geometry. In: Projective varieties with unexpected properties, 237–255, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.

[Gr] M. Gromov, Mendelian dynamics and Sturtevant's paradigm. In: Geometric and probabilistic structures in dynamics, 227–242, Contemp. Math., 469, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[Re] M.L. Reed, Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34 (1997), 107–130.

[SS] C. Semple, M. Steel, Phylogenetics, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 24, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.

[Ti] J. P. Tian, Evolution algebras and their applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1921, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[Tu] V. Turaev, Trimming of finite metric spaces, arXiv:1612.06760.

[YR] Z. Yang, B. Rannala, Molecular phylogenetics: principles and practice, Nature Reviews Genetics 13 (2012), 303–314.

Department of Mathematics,
Indiana University
Bloomington IN47405
USA
e-mail: vtouraev@indiana.edu